

Mail Stop Interference
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Tel: 571-272-4683
Fax: 571-273-0042

Paper No.: 24
Filed: February 13, 2008

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

DAVID G. HEATH,
ARTHUR M. FRIEDLANDER, GEORGE W. ANDERSON,
and SUSAN L. WELKOS
Junior Party

(Application 08/699,716),

v.

RICHARD WILLIAM TITBALL,
ETHEL D. WILLIAMSON, SOPHIE E.C. LEARY,
PETRA C.F. OYSTON, and ALICE M. BENNETT
Senior Party

(Patent 5,985,285).

Patent Interference No. 105,564
(Technology Center 1600)

Before: FRED E. McKELVEY, *Senior Administrative Patent Judge*, and
RICHARD E. SCHAFER and SALLY GARDNER LANE, *Administrative Patent
Judges*.

LANE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

Judgment – Order to Show Cause – Bd. R. 202(d)

1 Heath is under an Order to Show Cause (Bd.R. 202(d) (2)) (Order, Paper
2 4). As a response to the Order the parties sought, and were authorized, to file a
3 joint motion seeking a judgment of no interference-in-fact. (See Paper 20 at 1
4 and Paper 21 ("Joint Motion"). In addition, Heath filed a "Proposed Amendment
5 Contingent Upon Grant of Parties Joint Motion For No Interference-In-Fact."
6 (Exh. 2007).

7 The Joint Motion was denied and Heath's proposed amendment was not
8 entered. (Paper 23). Heath has not responded to the Order otherwise.

9 Since Heath has not shown sufficient reason why judgment should not be
10 entered against it, judgment adverse to Heath is entered below.

11 Upon consideration of the record and for reasons given, it is

12 ORDERED that judgment on priority as to Count 1, the sole count
13 of the interference, is entered against junior party Heath;

14 FURTHER ORDERED that claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 10, and 12-17 of
15 Heath application 08/699,716, which claims correspond to Count 1 (Paper 1 at
16 4), be FINALLY REFUSED, 35 U.S.C. §135(a);

17 FURTHER ORDERED that, if there is a settlement agreement, the
18 parties are directed to 35 U.S.C. §135(c) and Bd.R. 205; and

19 FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment and a copy of
20 the decision on the joint motion (Paper 23) shall be entered into the
21 administrative record of Heath application 08/699,716 and Titball patent
22 5,985,285.

1	<u>/Fred E. McKelvey/</u>)
2	FRED E. McKELVEY)
3	Senior Administrative Patent Judge)
4)
5	<u>Richard E. Schafer/</u>)
6	RICHARD E. SCHAFER)
7	Administrative Patent Judge)
8)
9	<u>/Sally Gardner Lane /</u>)
10	SALLY GARDNER LANE)
11	Administrative Patent Judge)

cc (via electronic filing):

Attorney for HEATH:

Suzannah K. Sundby, Esq.
 Kerri A. Hochgesang, Esq.
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP
 1850 M Street, NW #800
 Washington, DC 20036
 Tel: (202)263-4332
 Fax: (202)263-4352
 E-mail: ssundby@sgrlaw.com
 E-mail: khochgesang@sgrlaw.com

Attorney for TITBALL:

Leonard C. Mitchard, Esq.
 Mary J. Wilson, Esq.
NIXON & VANDERHYE, P.C.
 901 North Glebe Road
 11th Floor
 Arlington, VA 22203
 Tel: 703-616-4000
 Fax: (703)816-4100
 E-mail: lcm@nixonvan.com
 Email: mjw@nixonvan.com

Dyar, Leneetha

From: on behalf of Interference Trial Section

To: ssundby@sgrlaw.com; khochgesang@sgrlw.com; lcm@nixonvan.com; mjlw@nixonvan.com

Subject: Interference 105564 (SGL), Paper No. 23-Delision-Interlocutor Motion-Bd.R. 125(b), Paper No. 24-Judgment-Order to Show Cause-Bd.R. 202(d)

Attachments: 105564. 023.pdf; 105564.024.pdf

2/13/2008